Interview Summary	09/675,082		EDELSON, JONATHAN SIDNEY	
	Examiner		Art Unit	
	Joseph Waks	3	2834	
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PT	O personnel):			
(1) <u>Joseph Waks</u> .	(3)			
(2) <u>Jonathan S. Edelson</u> .	(4)			
Date of Interview: 7/24/03.				
Type: a)⊠ Telephonic b)⊡ Video Conference c)⊡ Personal [copy given to: 1)⊡ applicant 2)⊡ applicant's representative]				
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)□ No.			
Claim(s) discussed: <u>223</u> .				
Identification of prior art discussed:				
Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached.	g)∏ was not r	eached. h)⊠ N	I/A.	
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The claimed feature of the prime mover acceleration and decceleration toward equilibrium speed was disscussed. A suupplementary amendment including further limitations of claim scope to follow. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.				
			DSEPH WAKS NARY EXAMINE WAKE PH WAKE Y EXAM))
Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.		 Examiner's sign	ature, if require	d

Application No.

Applicant(s)